

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,827	08/06/2003	Gary M. Zelman	03-07-2102	7689	
23388 7	590 09/03/2004		EXAMINER		
TROJAN LAW OFFICES 9250 WILSHIRE BLVD			DANG, HUNG XUAN		
SUITE 325			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212			2873		
				DATE MAIL ED: 00/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
	10/635,827	ZELMAN, GARY	ZELMAN, GARY M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-4/			
	Hung X Dang	2873	K.			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	nddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MCI, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered tim INTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the approximate the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	·				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	al Stage			
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-8)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	0-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P <sup>-</sup>	TO-152)			

## **Information Disclosure Statement**

1. The Information disclosure Statements filed on 5/7/04 has been considered.

## Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Chao (6,109,747).

Chao discloses eyeglasses frame with magnets in flanges which comprises a primary lens frame 10 having a first pair of lens holders for holding a pair of primary lenses 90 and separated by a bridge portion 11, said bridge portion 11 having a major magnetic member 14 positioned on rear side such that a surface of the major magnetic member is exposed and faces rearward, said major magnetic member 14 magnetically coupling to a minor magnetic member 26 in an auxiliary frame 20, thereby securing the auxiliary frame 20 to the primary lens frame 10 (see figures 1-3 and the related disclosure.)

Application/Control Number: 10/635,827 Page 3

Art Unit: 2873

## Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 14-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Chao** (6,109,747).

Chao discloses eyeglasses frame with magnets in flanges which comprises a primary lens frame 10 having a first pair of lens holders for holding a pair of primary lenses 90 and separated by a bridge portion 11, said bridge portion 11 having a major magnetic member 14 positioned on rear side such that a surface of the major magnetic member is exposed and faces rearward, said major magnetic member 14 magnetically coupling to a minor magnetic member 26 in an auxiliary frame 20, thereby securing the auxiliary frame 20 to the primary lens frame 10 (see figures 1-3 and the related disclosure.)

Chao discloses a grip extension 24 having a flange 25 <u>downward</u> with a magnet 26 whereas the claimed invention claims that a grip extension having a flange <u>upward</u> with a magnet.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,827

Art Unit: 2873

Page 4

The difference between the claimed invention and Chao is the flange. The flange of the claimed invention is upward whereas Chao discloses the flange is downward (see figures 1-3 and the relative disclosure.)

Although the Chao device does not teach the exact configuration as that claimed by Applicant, the configuration differences are considered obvious design choices and are not patentable unless unobvious or unexpected results are obtained from these changes. It appears that these changes produce no functional differences and therefore would have been obvious.

It should be noted that although claims 18-26 are "method claims", the method steps consist of the broad steps of "constructing" and "coupling" etc and therefore these steps would be obvious satisfied by the apparatus of the reference as modified.

**4.** Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang at telephone number (571) 272-2326.

9/04

HUNG DĂNG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TC 2800